



MAIN SCIENTIFIC DIRECTIONS OF RESEARCH IN THE FIELD OF HEALTH CARE

organization and financing of medical care and health care system
health policy
healthcare economy The term "epidemiology" comes from the Greek words in the *cpi*, which means on or over, + *demos* - people + *logos* - science

# **Epidemiology is considered the basic science of public health.**

# PURPOSE OF THE EPIDEMIOLOGY

- Elimination or reduction of health problems and their consequences
- Prevention of health problems, their occurrence and repetition

# PRACTICE AND STATISTICAL RESEARCH

In practical and research activities, the doctor analyzes the results of his activities not only at the individual level, but also at the group and

population levels.



This is necessary for the doctor **to confirm the level of qualification**, as well as for further improvement and professional specialization.

## THE ABILITY TO PROPERLY ORGANIZE AND CONDUCT A STATISTICAL RESEARCH IS <u>NECESSARY FOR ALL</u> <u>PHYSICIANS</u> OF DIFFERENT PROFILES, HEADS OF INSTITUTIONS AND HEALTH AUTHORITIES.



Such knowledge and skills contribute to the **improvement of the quality and effectiveness of medical care** for the population through continuous training of personnel (an essential element of resource support) and, thus, the competitiveness of health care institutions of various forms of ownership in a market economy.

Phone 2 and a will have the start of the sta

#### PRACTICE AND STATISTICAL RESEARCH

# Healthcare managers in operational and prognostic work CONSTANTLY USE statistical data.

!!! ONLY A QUALIFIED ANALYSIS !!!
of statistical data, an assessment of events
and relevant conclusions allow us:
\*\*to make the right managerial decision
\*\*contribute to a better organization of work
\*\*more accurate planning and forecasting

## **Statistics helps to:**

- I monitor the activities of the institution
- Imanage it quickly

Ministry of Health

I evaluate the quality and effectiveness of treatment and prevention work

> The heads of healthcare system in the preparation of current and future work plans should be based on the study and analysis of trends and patterns in the development of both health care and the health status of the population in their district, city, region, etc.

PRACTICE AN STATISTICAL RESEARC

#### PRACTICE AND STATISTICAL RESEARCH

The traditional statistical system in health care is based on obtaining data in the form of reports that are compiled in lower level institutions and then summed up at intermediate and higher levels.



The reporting system has not only advantages (a single program, ensuring comparability, indicators of workload and use of resources, simplicity and low cost of collecting materials), but also certain disadvantages (low efficiency, rigidity, non-flexible program, limited information, uncontrolled accounting errors, etc.).



#### **LITERATURE REVIEW**

It helps to think of the organization of a good literature review as an inverse triangle. After first establishing the seminal theories and foundational research that inform your approach and topic, you then narrow your discussion to focus on the specific studies and methodologies that justify your research gap and design.



# Determining the unit of observation and drawing up a material collection program

# **The unit of observation** is each primary element of the statistical population.

The unit of observation is endowed with signs of similarities and differences that are subject to accounting and further observation, therefore, these signs are called counted (accounting).

**Signs taken into account** - signs on which the elements of the observation unit in the statistical population differ.

**Statistical aggregate** is a set of units with mass character, typicalness, qualitative homogeneity, and the presence of variation.

The statistical aggregate consists of materially existing objects (workers, enterprises, countries, regions), is the object of statistical research.



**Statistical aggregate** is a group consisting of relatively homogeneous elements, taken together within the known boundaries of time and space in accordance with the goal. The structure of the statistical population: the statistical population consists of units of observation.



ON THE EXAMPLE OF OUR STUDY - statistical aggregate - these are students studying at a given university for the entire period of study.

## THERE ARE TWO TYPES OF AGGREGATE - GENERAL AND SELECTIVE.

• The general aggregate (population) is a group consisting of all relatively homogeneous elements in accordance with the goal.





• Selective aggregate (set) - selected for the study part of the general set and designed to characterize the entire general set. It must be representative (representative) in quantity and quality in relation to the general population.



# **!!! REPRESENTATIVITY !!!**

• Quantitative representativeness based on the law of large numbers and means a sufficient number of elements of the sample, calculated by special formulas and tables.

t<sup>2</sup>σ<sup>2</sup>N

 $\frac{1}{t^2\sigma + \Delta^2 N}$ 

Qualitative representativeness is based on the law of probability and means conformity (uniformity) of features characterizing the elements of the sample in relation to the general one.



In our example, the general population is all medical students; selective aggregate - part of the students of each course and faculty of the university. • The volume of the statistical population is the number of elements of the population taken for the study.

• The dates and place (territory) of the study - is the preparation of a calendar plan for the implementation of this study at this stage in a particular territory.

- Types of observation:
  - current (or constant) observation when registration is carried out continuously as the units of observation appear.
  - Example: every case of birth, death, treatment in medical institutions.
  - one-time observation when the phenomena being studied are recorded for a specific moment (hour, day of the week, date).
  - Example: population census, the composition of hospital bed capacity.

## WAYS OF CONDUCTING RESEARCH.

For the researcher it is important to determine the method of conducting the study: continuous observation or non-continuous (selective).

- Complete (continuous) observation is the registration of all observation units constituting the entire population.
- Non-continuous (selective) observation the study of only part of the totality to characterize the whole.



Sampling

# **Methods of forming a sample**

- Random selection is a selection carried out by lot (by the initial letter of the surname or by birthday, etc.).
- Mechanical selection is the selection when every fifth (20%) or tenth (10%) observation unit is mechanically selected from the entire population.
- Serial selection when from the general population are selected not individual units, but nests (series), which are selected by random or mechanical sampling. Example: to study the incidence of the rural population of the M region, the incidence of the rural population of one, the most typical item is studied. The results apply to the entire rural population of the region.
- Directional selection is the selection, when from the general population in order to identify certain patterns are selected only those units of observation, which will reveal the influence of unknown factors while eliminating the influence of known ones. Example: when studying the influence of the experience of workers on injuries, workers of one profession, one age, one workshop, one educational level are selected.
- **Typological selection** is the selection of units from previously grouped, same-type qualitative groups. **Example:** when studying the pattern of mortality among the urban population, the studied cities should be grouped according to the population in them.

Characteristics of the performers (frames). How many people and what qualifications are doing the research. Example: a study on the study of the sanitary and hygienic regime of students in the senior classes of secondary schools of the district is carried out by two doctors and two assistants to the sanitary doctor of the center of hygiene and epidemiology of this administrative district. Characteristics of technical equipment and the required material resources:

- laboratory equipment and devices corresponding to the purpose of the study;
- stationery (paper, letterheads);
- without additional allocations.

The material collection program is a consistent presentation of the signs taken into account - questions that need to be answered when conducting this study.



This can be a **questionnaire, questionnaire card or map** specially compiled by the researcher.

**!!!The document must have a clear title and approved by experts!!!** 

Questions (taken into account) should be clear, concise, consistent with the purpose and objectives of the study; for each closed question should provide answers.



# TITLE - Map for studying the prevalence of smoking among medical students

- Full name of the student \_\_\_\_\_\_
  - Course: I, II, III, IV, V, VI
- Faculty: Medical, Dental, Pharmaceutical,
- Age: up to 20 years, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25 and more
- Gender: Male / Female
- Do you admit that smoking is harmful to health? Yes, No, I do not know
- Who smokes from the people living with you: father, mother, brother, sister, husband, wife, comrade, nobody smokes
- Do you smoke? Yes, No
- Age when you smoked your first cigarette: up to 15 years old, 16-18 years old, over 18 years old

(write in full)

- How many cigarettes (cigarettes) do you smoke per day? 5-10, 11-20, more than 20
- What prompted you to smoke for the first time: an example of parents, an example of teachers, the influence of comrades, the desire to appear adults, the desire to lose weight, curiosity, the desire to keep up with fashion?

# WHAT MEAN A TERM RESEARCH DESIGN?



**DESIGN** — plan, purpose, intention, creative idea.

![](_page_23_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **EPIDEMIOLOGICAL RESEARCH**

- 1. For character :
- Empiric
- Experimental
- 2. By time of research:
- One-moment (cross)
- Dynamic (longitudinal)

![](_page_25_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **EPIDEMIOLOGICAL RESEARCH**

- 3. By time of data collection
- Prospective
- Retrospective
- 4. By statistical agregate:
- Total
- Selective

![](_page_26_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_27_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# Empirical studies —

is a study without intentional interference with the natural course and development of the disease.

The researcher observes and records the required information in accordance with the research program.

# **DESCREPTIVE RESEARCH** (observational study)

- Investigation which does not involve interference.
- Based on observation by participants.

#### 

# When we use Descreptive research?

• We need only descriptive information is required

(for example, whether the incidence of diabetes is increasing?)

• We must find the causes of any problem, without violating the conditions under which it arises

(for example, why some participants do not go to the training?)

When you can not make an experiment

al and ad have

(for example, at what speed the Earth rotates around the Sun.)

• When an experiment is unacceptable

(for example, how condom use reduces the risk of HIV?)

# DESCRIPTION OF A CASE DESCRIPTION OF SERIES OF CASES

**AIM**: A description of the interesting features of a group of patients or a separate clinical case. **TASK**: to find a problem.

	The second se		
ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES		
• Quick receiption of information	Absence of control group		
Minimum cost	High probability of occurrence of		
• Simple data collection procedure	systematic and random errors		
• Maybe the first step in the way of	The influence of additional		
generating a hypothesis	factors is not taken into account		

Frequency and prevalence of diseases Prevalence of potentially dangerous factors

The course of diseases

DESCREPTIVE EPIDEMIOLOGICAL STUDY

# **DESCRIPTION OF INDIVIDUAL CASES**

is a method of medical research consisting in a detailed description of the data obtained by monitoring one or more cases of diseases (not more than 10 patients) and allows you to attract the attention of doctors to new or less-known diseases, their manifestations or combinations of diseases.

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_34_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# **CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY**

The sample is formed from a predetermined population and is investigated once to receive both risk information and the investigated effects at the same time. Data obtained during a one-time study are **quantitative**.

![](_page_35_Figure_2.jpeg)

# **CROSS-SECTIONAL STUDY**

Estectfils unsublication richogourn Restratio Risplant Rethogones Discr Infecto Octor Octophens Spantantig Family Concentiation Lativatio, 1921

### **ADVANTAGES**

- Mild (short) durability
- Relatively low cost
- Provides a large amount of data
- Suitable for determining risk factors
- Useful for assessing the needs of different categories of the population (vulnerable groups)
- Ability to track trends (provided on a regular basis [repeteble])

## DISADVANTAGES

- One-time cut in time
- Not intended for the current registration of changes
- Causal link can not be established

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_37_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# EMPIRICAL ANALITICAL RESEARCH

![](_page_38_Figure_1.jpeg)

# CASE-CONTROL STUDY

A study that compares patients who have a disease or outcome of interest (cases) with patients who do not have the disease or outcome (controls), and looks back retrospectively to compare how frequently the exposure to a risk factor is present in each group to determine the relationship between the risk factor and the disease.

![](_page_40_Figure_0.jpeg)

Case control study proceed from effect to the cause

# **CASE-CONTROL STUDY**

**AIM:** to assess the relationship between the influence of any factor (s) with the current status: the presence / absence of the disease (cause-effect relationship).

	ADVANTAGES	DISADVANTAGES		
•	Simplicity, relative cheapness.	• Probability of systematic study		
•	Response rate.	errors (memory errors)		
•	Ability to explore a wide range of	• It is impossible to clearly establish		
	risk factors	the cause-effect relationship (the		
•	Suitable for determining cause-effect	influence of many other factors)		
	relationship	• Difficult to pick up a control group		
•	Good for studying rare diseases	• To study the effects of rare factors, a		
•	Allows you to calculate risk (odds	very large group of research is		
	ratio)	required		

## **SELECTION OF PATIENTS IN QUALITY OF CASES**

# The study includes new cases of diseases with a wellestablished diagnosis.

![](_page_42_Picture_2.jpeg)

There must be a clinical, radiological, morphological confirmation of the diagnosis.

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_43_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

![](_page_44_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_44_Picture_1.jpeg)

# **COHORT RESEARCH**

The term "cohort" means a group of persons, united by a certain general sign, which is observed over a specified period of time, in order to trace what will happen to them in the future.

![](_page_45_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_46_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_47_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_48_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_49_Figure_0.jpeg)

# **COHORT STUDY**

## **ADVANTAGES**

Che

- The only way to directly assess the incidence, relative risk (the indicator of the strength of the relationship between exposure and disease)
- Ability to study the development of the disease
- Good for studying rare risk factors

- The lower the probability of a systematic error, because the disease is absent at the start of the study
- Ability to determine the timing of the risk factor with the result that has arisen

## DISADVANTAGES

nistry

- Long-term and costly research (prospective)
- Loss of subjects of observation (refusal of observation, death, migration)
- Not suitable for the study of rare diseases

A1

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_51_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# **Randomized Controlled Trial**

Definition

A study design that randomly assigns participants into an experimental group or a control group. As the study is conducted, the only expected difference between the control and experimental groups in a randomized controlled trial (RCT) is the outcome variable being studied.

![](_page_53_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Advantages

- Good randomization will "wash out" any population bias
- Easier to blind/mask than observational studies
- Results can be analyzed with well known statistical tools
- Populations of participating individuals are clearly identified
   Disadvantages
- Expensive in terms of time and money
- Volunteer biases: the population that participates may not be representative of the whole
- Loss to follow-up attributed to treatment

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_55_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# **Practice Guideline**

### Definition

- A statement produced by a panel of experts that outlines current best practice to inform health care professionals and patients in making clinical decisions. The statement is produced after an extensive review of the literature and is typically created by professional associations, government agencies, and/or public or private organizations.
- Good guidelines clearly define the topic; appraise and summarize the best evidence regarding prevention, diagnosis, prognosis, therapy, harm, and cost-effectiveness; and identify the decision points where this information should be integrated with clinical experience and patient wishes to determine practice. Practice guidelines should be reviewed frequently and updated as necessary for continued accuracy and relevancy.

Assessing impacts, vulnerability and risks

![](_page_57_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### Monitoring and evaluating

Learning on adaptation effectiveness

Learning on climate risks

Planning for adaptation

Implementing adaptation measures

![](_page_58_Figure_0.jpeg)

## Advantages

- Created by panels of experts
- Based on professional published literature
- Practical guidance for clinicians
- Considered an evidence-based resource
   Disadvantages
- Slow to change or be updated
- Not always available, especially for controversial topics
- Expensive and time-consuming to produce
- Recommendations might be affected by the type of organization creating the guideline

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_60_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# **Systematic Review**

# Definition

 A document often written by a panel that provides a comprehensive review of all relevant studies on a particular clinical or health-related topic/question. The systematic review is created after reviewing and combining all the information from both published and unpublished studies (focusing on clinical trials of similar treatments) and then summarizing the findings.

# **STEPS IN A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW**

- 1. Determine the research question
- 2. Assemble the research team
- 3. Determine if there are any registered (in process) or published systematic reviews on your topic
- 4. Develop & register the protocol for the study
- 5. Develop a comprehensive search strategy, informed by your inclusion & exclusion criteria.
- 6. Select studies for include based on the predefined inclusion/exclusion criteria as detailed in the protocol.
- 7. Extract & analyze data
- 8. Interpret & synthesize results for publication
- 9. Update review as required

![](_page_63_Figure_0.jpeg)

# SYSTEMATIC REVIEW

#### Advantages

- Exhaustive review of the current literature and other sources (unpublished studies, ongoing research)
- Less costly to review prior studies than to create a new study
- Less time required than conducting a new study
- Results can be generalized and extrapolated into the general population more broadly than individual studies
- More reliable and accurate than individual studies
- Considered an evidence-based resource
- Disadvantages
- Very time-consuming
- May not be easy to combine studies

## **Hierarchy of Scientific Evidence**

![](_page_65_Figure_1.jpeg)

## **Not Scientific Evidence**

Youtube videos, personal anecdotes, gut feelings, parental instincts, some guy you know, websites like Natural News, Info Wars, Natural Health Warriors, Collective Evolution, Green Med Info, Mercola.com, Whale.to, etc.

Weakest

thelogicofscience.com

# **Meta-Analysis**

#### Definition

- A subset of systematic reviews; a method for systematically combining pertinent qualitative and quantitative study data from several selected studies to develop a single conclusion that has greater statistical power. This conclusion is statistically stronger than the analysis of any single study, due to increased numbers of subjects, greater diversity among subjects, or accumulated effects and results.
- Meta-analysis would be used for the following purposes:
- To establish statistical significance with studies that have conflicting results
- To develop a more correct estimate of effect magnitude
- To provide a more complex analysis of harms, safety data, and benefits
- To examine subgroups with individual numbers that are not statistically significant
- If the individual studies utilized randomized controlled trials (RCT), combining several selected RCT results would be the highest-level of evidence on the evidence hierarchy, followed by systematic reviews, which analyze all available studies on a topic.

![](_page_67_Figure_0.jpeg)

				/0
Study	Ref	Country	RRR (95% CI)	Weight
Occupation				
Valkonen, 1993	36	Finland	0.72 (0.41, 1.28)	15.38
Mäkelä et al., 1997	38	Finland	1.60 (1.36, 1.87)	41.04
Hemström, 2002	39	Sweden	1.79 (1.48, 2.16)	38.77
Kivimäki et al., 2007	42	Finland	1.42 (0.44, 4.58)	4.80
Subtotal (I-squared = 66	.1%, p	= 0.031)	1.47 (1.12, 1.93)	100.00
•				
Employment Status				
Kivimäki et al., 2003	40	Finland	1.88 (1.55, 2.28)	95.27
Voss et al., 2004	41	Sweden	0.76 (0.10, 5.84)	0.84
Zagozdzon et al., 2009	43	Poland (Gdansk)	1.70 (0.66, 4.38)	3.89
Subtotal (I-squared = 0.0	)%, p =	= 0.677)	1.86 (1.54, 2.24)	100.00
5				
Education				
Koskinen et al., 1994	37	Finland	1.12 (0.90, 1.38)	9.46
Shkolnikov et al., 1998	23	Russia	3.19 (2.32, 4.40)	8.32
Leinsalu et al., 2003	35	Estonia	2.29 (1.12, 4.68)	4.48
Leinsalu et al., 2003	35	Estonia	6.14 (1.40, 26.98)	1.54
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Finland	1.36 (1.18, 1.57)	10.06
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Spain (Madrid)	2.57 (0.35, 18.82)	0.91
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Spain (Basque Country)	1.93 (0.59, 6.29)	2.24
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Sweden	1.84 (1.51, 2.24)	9.62
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Denmark	1.05 (0.88, 1.25)	9.81
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Belgium	0.90 (0.72, 1.12)	9.37
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Spain (Barcelona)	0.87 (0.43, 1.76)	4.52
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Italy (Turin)	4.17 (0.58, 30.17)	0.92
Mackenbach et al., 2008	27	Norway —	1.78 (1.32, 2.41)	8.54
Faeh et al., 2010	44	Switzerland	1.03 (1.02, 1.04)	10.60
Tjepkema et al., 2012	22	Canada	1.91 (1.57, 2.33)	9.60
Subtotal (I-squared = 91.	.5%. p	= 0.000)	1.49 (1.22, 1.82)	100.00
		25 5 1 2 4 6 8		

%

## **META-ANALYSIS**

## Advantages

- Greater statistical power
- Confirmatory data analysis
- Greater ability to extrapolate to general population affected
- Considered an evidence-based resource

## Disadvantages

- Difficult and time consuming to identify appropriate studies
- Not all studies provide adequate data for inclusion and analysis
- Requires advanced statistical techniques
- Heterogeneity of study populations

![](_page_70_Picture_0.jpeg)